

From Competence to Client Loyalty: Performance Translation in B2B Professional Services

A Case Analysis of PT Alfa Dinamis Indonesia

Dena Vera Silvana ^{1*}, Fisy Amalia ², Afandi Rachman ³, Zakki Ismail ⁴
^{1,2,3,4} Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Ganesha, Tangerang Selatan, Indonesia
Email: ¹denaversil94@gmail.com, ²fisyamalia67@gmail.com, ³afarach151184@gmail.com, ⁴Zakkiismail09@gmail.com

***) Corresponding Author**

Abstract

Received: 10 April 2026

Revised: 18 Juni 2026

Accepted: 29 Juni 2026

Published online:

Client loyalty in business-to-business (B2B) professional services depends not only on the competence possessed by service employees but also on whether that competence is translated into performance that clients can observe and value. This study develops a process explanation of competence, performance, and client loyalty in the context of PT Alfa Dinamis Indonesia, a provider of professional training and human-resource services. To align the research claims with the available evidence, the study is positioned as a qualitative documentary and theory-building single-case analysis rather than an interview-based qualitative study. The evidence base consists of organizational documents and service descriptions, documented organizational observations, client-retention phenomena reported in the source study, and secondary interpretation of an earlier survey of 75 corporate clients. The case-based analysis suggests four analytical propositions: competence is an enabling capability rather than a sufficient loyalty condition; performance is the client-visible realization of competence; loyalty develops through accumulated performance experiences; and sustainable loyalty requires competence to be institutionalized across employees and projects. Building on these propositions, the article introduces a provisional Competence-to-Performance Translation Mechanism (CPTM), linking employee competence, performance translation, experienced client value, relationship confidence, and client loyalty. The framework contributes by distinguishing value potential from value realization and by connecting human-capital logic with B2B relationship-value and trust perspectives. Because the present evidence does not include primary qualitative interviews, CPTM is advanced as an emerging theory-building framework requiring subsequent multi-informant empirical validation.

Keywords:

employee competence; employee performance; B2B professional services; relationship value; client loyalty; theory-building case analysis

INTRODUCTION

The sustainability of professional-service firms depends heavily on their ability to retain organizational clients across repeated service episodes. This challenge is especially important in B2B markets, where the buying organization evaluates not only the technical outcome of a service but also the provider's reliability, responsiveness, communication, problem-solving capacity, and ability to adapt to changing requirements. In professional services, these evaluations are complicated by intangibility, knowledge intensity, customization, and the close interaction between provider personnel and client representatives. Recent B2B service research consequently places trust, relationship value, customer experience, and commitment at the center of relationship continuity (Gansser et al., 2021; Roberts-Lombard et al., 2019).

Human resources occupy a distinctive position in this setting. Employees and professional personnel are simultaneously repositories of knowledge and visible representatives of organizational capability. Human capital can strengthen service capabilities and relationship value in professional-service firms, but its commercial value depends on successful deployment in client-facing processes (Boso et al., 2021). This distinction is critical: competence is possessed internally, whereas performance is enacted and experienced externally. A technically capable employee may still fail to create client value when communication is weak, deadlines are missed, customization is inadequate, or service recovery is ineffective.

PT Alfa Dinamis Indonesia provides a useful case for examining this translation problem. The company operates in professional human-resource services including soft-skill and technical training, occupational-safety certification, management consulting, outbound and team-building programs, assessment, ISO-related services, and related corporate services. The source study reports that the company was established in November 2016 and operates in the Bekasi area. Its service model is knowledge intensive and relies substantially on interaction among employees, professional personnel, and corporate clients.

The empirical problem is visible in the organizational evidence. The source study documented reduced contract-renewal frequency among some established clients and movement toward competing providers. It also identified uneven product mastery and communication capability, insufficiently systematic competence development, performance assessment dominated by quantitative targets, and concerns about service consistency and professionalism. These observations create a practical and theoretical puzzle: why does employee competence not automatically translate into client continuity?

An earlier survey from the same organizational setting provides background evidence rather than the primary dataset of the present study. The dataset included evaluations from 75 corporate clients. Competence was positively associated with client loyalty when examined independently ($B = 0.464$; $p = .002$), but its unique coefficient became non-significant when employee performance was entered simultaneously ($B = 0.146$; $p = .292$), whereas performance remained significant ($B = 0.680$; $p < .001$). These coefficients do not establish mediation, and the present study does not reinterpret them as a causal mechanism. Instead, they motivate a process-oriented question about how clients encounter and evaluate employee capability.

Four gaps motivate the analysis. Empirically, the available organizational evidence shows client-retention difficulties despite the presence of employee competence, suggesting a

competence-to-performance translation problem that direct-effect models may obscure. Theoretically, human-capital research explains why competence matters, while B2B relationship-marketing research explains the roles of trust, commitment, service quality, and relationship value; however, the micro-process connecting employee capability to client-experienced relationship value remains fragmented across these literatures. Contextually, evidence from Indonesian B2B training and HR consulting services remains limited compared with studies of industrial, retail, healthcare, and broader professional-service relationships. Methodologically, much prior work relies on cross-sectional variable-based models, whereas a theory-building case analysis can make the intermediate translation mechanism explicit.

The novelty of this article is therefore deliberately focused on one defensible proposition: competence represents value potential, while performance represents value realization; repeated and consistent value realization can accumulate into relationship confidence and client loyalty. This argument is formalized as a provisional Competence-to-Performance Translation Mechanism (CPTM). The framework is provisional because the present evidence base does not include primary qualitative interviews and therefore cannot support claims of empirically saturated qualitative themes.

The study addresses three research questions: RQ1: How is employee competence represented and enacted in the delivery of professional B2B services at PT Alfa Dinamis Indonesia? RQ2: Through what analytically plausible mechanisms can competence be translated into client-visible performance and relationship value? RQ3: How can repeated and consistent performance experiences contribute to relationship confidence and client loyalty?

Literature Review

Employee Competence, Human Capital, and Professional Services

Competence comprises knowledge, skills, abilities, behavioral attributes, judgment, and professional characteristics that enable effective performance. Human-capital theory treats such knowledge and skills as productive resources, while competency perspectives emphasize that capability becomes meaningful when mobilized in a task and context (Becker, 1964; Spencer & Spencer, 1993). In professional services, competence is especially consequential because clients purchase expertise whose quality is difficult to evaluate before or even immediately after delivery. Emerging-market professional-service research shows that human capital can strengthen service capabilities and relationship value, suggesting that competence matters through the organizational capabilities it enables rather than only as an isolated employee attribute (Boso et al., 2021).

The source study operationalized competence through technical mastery, communication capability, integrity, and professionalism. In the present theory-building analysis, these dimensions are treated as capability resources. Technical knowledge enables diagnosis and solution design; communication makes expertise intelligible and responsive to client needs; integrity supports credible professional conduct; and professionalism shapes consistency in service encounters. The central distinction is therefore between what an employee is capable of doing and what a client actually experiences.

Employee Performance as Competence Enactment

Employee performance is the observable enactment of capability in work processes and outcomes. In professional services, relevant performance extends beyond task quantity to include accuracy, timeliness, responsiveness, reliability, customization, compliance, and problem resolution. This enactment perspective is consistent with the broader service literature, in which frontline behavior and service execution shape customer evaluations. It also provides the bridge between HR capability and external value creation: clients cannot directly inspect the employee's internal knowledge structure, but they can evaluate whether the provider understands the problem, delivers an appropriate solution, communicates effectively, meets deadlines, and resolves unexpected difficulties.

B2B Relationship Marketing, Trust, and Client Loyalty

Relationship marketing explains why repeated exchanges depend on more than transaction quality. The commitment-trust theory identifies trust and commitment as central mechanisms of successful relational exchange (Morgan & Hunt, 1994). In B2B services, interpersonal and organizational trust are both important because clients interact with individual representatives while contracting with the firm. Gansser et al. (2021) show that trust in salespersons and organizations has distinct antecedents and that interpersonal trust can be especially influential in B2B services. More recent B2B evidence likewise links trust, commitment, satisfaction, and perceived value with loyalty and relationship continuity (Amoako et al., 2024).

Client loyalty is consequently treated here as a relational outcome rather than repeat purchasing alone. It can be expressed through contract renewal, willingness to reuse the provider, recommendation, retention, and resistance to switching. In professional services, repeated purchasing may also reflect switching costs or procurement constraints, so relationship confidence and perceived value are important for distinguishing relational loyalty from mere behavioral continuation.

Relationship Value and Professional-Service Relationships

Relationship value captures the client's assessment of benefits and sacrifices across an ongoing exchange. In professional-service relationships, relationship quality and value can influence loyalty because the client evaluates both service outcomes and the quality of interaction with the provider. Roberts-Lombard et al. (2019) found that relationship value plays a meaningful role in translating relationship quality into loyalty in professional-service relationships. B2B studies also show that perceived value can transmit the effects of trust and other relational signals into loyalty (e.g., third-party endorsement and trustworthiness research in industrial markets). These findings support a process interpretation in which employee performance creates observable evidence that reduces uncertainty and strengthens the perceived value of continuing the relationship.

Literature stream	What prior studies establish	Remaining issue addressed here
Competency / human capital	Knowledge, skills and professional capabilities are productive resources; human capital can support service capabilities and firm outcomes.	How internal capability becomes client-visible value in repeated professional-service encounters.
Employee / service performance	Observable execution, service quality and responsiveness shape customer evaluations.	Performance is often treated as an outcome or predictor rather than as a translation layer between competence and relationship value.
B2B relationship marketing	Trust, commitment, satisfaction and relationship quality support continuity and loyalty.	The employee-level capability origin of these relational evaluations is less explicit.
Relationship value / professional services	Relationship quality and value are important for loyalty in high-risk, knowledge-intensive services.	The micro-process through which employee capability becomes relationship value requires clearer articulation.
Methodological tradition	Many studies use cross-sectional survey and structural models.	A process-oriented, theory-building case analysis can expose intermediate mechanisms without claiming unsupported causality.

Provisional Competence-to-Performance Translation Mechanism

Synthesizing these streams, the article proposes a provisional process: Employee Competence -> Performance Translation -> Experienced Client Value -> Relationship Confidence -> Client Loyalty. Competence is positioned upstream as value potential. Performance translation refers to the reliable enactment of knowledge, communication, integrity, and technical skill through accuracy, responsiveness, timeliness, customization, and problem solving. Experienced client value reflects reliability, relevance, professionalism, and reduced uncertainty. Repeated positive experiences can build relationship confidence, which in turn supports renewal, recommendation, retention, and resistance to switching. The mechanism is a theory-building proposition, not an empirically validated mediation model.

METHODS

Research Design and Case Selection

To resolve the mismatch between the original design claim and the evidence actually available, this study is repositioned as a qualitative documentary and theory-building single-case analysis. It is not presented as an interview-based empirical qualitative study. The case-study strategy is appropriate for examining a contemporary organizational process in context and for developing theoretically informed propositions from multiple forms of case evidence

(Yin, 2018). The unit of analysis is the competence-performance-client continuity process within PT Alfa Dinamis Indonesia.

The case was selected purposively because the firm's business model depends on knowledge-intensive professional services and recurring corporate relationships. The case also contains a theoretically useful tension between employee capability and client retention, documented through renewal challenges, service-consistency concerns, and earlier client-evaluation evidence.

Evidence Base and Provenance

The analysis uses three evidence streams. First, documentary evidence includes the organizational profile, service descriptions, competence and performance indicators, client-retention descriptions, and documentation from the earlier study. Second, documented organizational observations concern employee behavior, service delivery, employee-client interaction, communication, and service consistency as reported in the source research. Third, the earlier survey of 75 corporate clients is used solely as background and puzzle-generating evidence. Its coefficients are not treated as the primary dataset of the present qualitative case analysis, nor are numerical responses converted into invented interview quotations.

The earlier client dataset predates the present theory-building reconstruction and is analytically secondary to it. The present article does not claim that new interviews were conducted. Because the available manuscript does not contain a complete ethics-approval record, interview consent record, or publication-status documentation for the earlier dataset, no additional claims are made beyond what is supported by the source material. These provenance limitations are explicitly recognized in the limitations section.

Analytical Strategy

The analysis follows an abductive theory-building logic. Competence, performance, relationship value, trust/confidence, and loyalty were used as sensitizing concepts rather than as predetermined empirical themes. The procedure comprised: (1) familiarization with documentary and observation-based evidence; (2) extraction of evidence relevant to capability, enactment, client experience, and continuity; (3) coding of evidence into analytically meaningful categories; (4) comparison of patterns across evidence streams; (5) development of higher-order analytical themes; and (6) formulation of provisional theoretical propositions. This procedure is compatible with case-based theory building and with reflexive thematic principles, while avoiding the inaccurate claim that interview transcripts underwent thematic saturation (Braun & Clarke, 2021; Eisenhardt, 1989; Yin, 2018).

Raw / case evidence	Initial code	Subtheme	Analytical theme	Interpretation
Uneven mastery of services and communication capabilities	capability variation	competence consistency	Competence as enabling capability	Possessing competence does not ensure consistent mobilization across personnel.
Concerns about service consistency and professionalism	inconsistent enactment	client-visible execution	Performance as value realization	Clients evaluate enacted service rather than latent capability.
Reduced renewal frequency and switching by some established clients	continuity erosion	accumulated experience	Loyalty as repeated-experience outcome	Relationship continuation reflects evaluation across service episodes.
Need for SOPs, project routines, knowledge repositories and consistent standards	institutionalization	cross-person consistency	Organizational translation	Individual competence must become organizationally reproducible.
Earlier survey: performance retained stronger unique coefficient than competence in joint model	performance salience	realized capability	Provisional translation mechanism	Pattern motivates process explanation but does not prove mediation.

Trustworthiness and Boundary of Claims

Credibility is pursued through triangulation across documents, documented observations, and the earlier client dataset as background evidence. Dependability is strengthened by making the analytical domains and evidence-to-proposition chain explicit. Confirmability is addressed by separating source evidence from researcher interpretation and

by avoiding fabricated quotations or retrospective claims of interviews. Transferability is supported through contextual description rather than statistical generalization. Because no new interview dataset exists, the article uses the terms analytical themes, case-based propositions, and provisional framework rather than empirical interview themes, saturation, or empirically grounded process model.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Analytical Theme 1: Competence Is an Enabling Capability, Not a Sufficient Loyalty Condition

The case evidence represents competence through technical knowledge, communication, integrity, and professionalism. These characteristics are necessary for credible training and consulting services, yet the source also reports uneven mastery of services and communication capability and insufficiently systematic competence development. The relevant distinction is therefore not simply competence versus incompetence, but competence availability versus competence consistency. An organization may contain capable individuals while clients encounter uneven manifestations of that capability across employees and projects. Proposition 1 (P1): In professional B2B services, employee competence operates as an enabling condition for client value but does not independently guarantee relationship continuity.

Analytical Theme 2: Performance Is the Client-Visible Realization of Competence

Clients encounter competence indirectly through execution. Accuracy, responsiveness, timeliness, customization, communication, and problem resolution make internal capability visible. The source evidence concerning service consistency and professionalism therefore points to a translation issue: the managerial question is not only whether employees are competent, but whether clients can consistently experience that competence. Performance functions as the experiential interface between human capital and client evaluation.

Proposition 2 (P2): Competence creates relationship value when it is translated into observable, consistent, and client-relevant performance.

Analytical Theme 3: Loyalty Develops Through Accumulated Performance Experiences

The source records reduced contract-renewal frequency among some established clients and movement toward competitors. In B2B professional services, renewal decisions are rarely based on a single encounter. Clients accumulate evidence across training sessions, consulting assignments, communication episodes, service recovery, and administrative interactions. A successful episode may generate satisfaction; repeated reliable episodes can reduce uncertainty and strengthen confidence in the provider. The case therefore supports a temporal interpretation of loyalty as an accumulated relationship outcome.

Proposition 3 (P3): B2B client loyalty is reinforced through accumulated positive performance experiences rather than isolated demonstrations of employee capability.

Analytical Theme 4: Consistency Connects Individual Performance with Organizational Loyalty

Corporate clients contract with an organization, not merely with one high-performing individual. Excellent performance from a particular trainer or consultant cannot fully compensate for inconsistent experiences involving other personnel or subsequent projects. The reported uneven competence and service-consistency concerns indicate an individual-to-organizational translation gap. Sustainable loyalty therefore requires competence to be institutionalized through service standards, project templates, communication protocols, client-

history records, knowledge repositories, quality assurance, and systematic performance evaluation.

Proposition 4 (P4): Sustainable B2B loyalty requires the institutionalization of employee competence so that clients experience consistent performance across personnel, projects, and service encounters.

The Provisional Competence-to-Performance Translation Mechanism (CPTM)

The four propositions converge in the provisional CPTM. The mechanism can be represented as follows:

Employee Competence → Performance Translation → Experienced Client Value → Relationship Confidence → Client Loyalty

Knowledge, technical skill, communication, integrity → accuracy, responsiveness, timeliness, customization, problem solving → reliability, relevance, professionalism, reduced uncertainty → trust/confidence and willingness to continue → renewal, recommendation, retention, resistance to switching

The framework changes the interpretation of competence from a direct loyalty antecedent into an upstream value resource. Performance is the realization mechanism, client value is the experiential evaluation, relationship confidence is the relational accumulation, and loyalty is the continuity outcome. At the present stage, CPTM is an emerging theory-building framework. It should not be described as empirically validated until direct multi-informant evidence is collected and analyzed.

DISCUSSION

From Value Potential to Value Realization

The first theoretical implication is that competence and performance should not be treated as interchangeable predictors. Human-capital perspectives establish that knowledge and skill can generate productive advantage, while professional-service research shows that internal competencies can strengthen service capability and relationship value (Boso et al., 2021). The present case extends this logic by locating performance between capability and relationship outcomes. Competence represents value potential; performance makes that value externally observable. This explains why investment in competence development can fail to improve client retention when the organization lacks mechanisms that convert capability into consistent service execution.

Performance, Trust, and Relationship Value in B2B Services

The second implication concerns the relationship-marketing literature. Prior studies show that trust and commitment are central to B2B relationship continuity (Morgan & Hunt, 1994), that interpersonal and organizational trust have distinct roles in B2B services (Gansser et al., 2021), and that relationship value can transmit relationship quality into loyalty in professional-service contexts (Roberts-Lombard et al., 2019). The present case does not contradict these findings; it extends them upstream by proposing a human-capital origin for some of the signals from which relationship value and confidence are formed. Accurate execution, responsive communication, and professional problem resolution are not only operational outcomes; they are evidence that clients can use to judge provider reliability.

Explaining the Earlier Quantitative Puzzle Without Claiming Mediation

The earlier survey pattern is consistent with, but does not prove, the CPTM argument. Competence was associated with loyalty in a simple model, while performance retained the

stronger unique coefficient in the joint model. A formal mediation claim would require an explicit indirect-effect design and appropriate statistical testing. The present article therefore uses the earlier pattern only as a puzzle-generating observation. The theory-building explanation is that clients may value what employees are capable of doing, but relationship continuity is more directly informed by what employees repeatedly deliver.

From Human Capital to Relationship Capital

The case also creates a bridge between HR management and B2B relationship marketing. Human capital becomes strategically valuable when it is converted into service capabilities that clients experience repeatedly. When such performance reduces uncertainty and demonstrates relevance, it can contribute to relationship capital in the form of trust, confidence, and willingness to continue. This extension matters because it shifts managerial attention from competence inventories toward translation systems: performance standards, service routines, knowledge-sharing processes, client-feedback loops, and cross-person consistency.

Theoretical Contribution and Novelty

The theoretical contribution is intentionally concentrated rather than multiplied across several novelty claims. The article proposes that competence is value potential, performance is value realization, and repeated value realization can be converted into relationship confidence and client loyalty. CPTM integrates these stages into a provisional process framework for knowledge-intensive B2B professional services. The contribution is therefore not the familiar statement that competence and performance are related to loyalty; it is the identification of a competence-performance translation gap and the proposition that relationship continuity depends on how effectively organizations close that gap.

Managerial Implications

For HR development, the case suggests that training and certification should be evaluated by transfer to client-facing behavior rather than participation counts alone. Competence-development programs should be followed by evidence of improved communication, technical accuracy, responsiveness, customization, and problem solving. This recommendation derives directly from Analytical Themes 1 and 2.

For service operations, the priority is consistency. Standard operating procedures, project templates, service-quality checklists, after-action reviews, and quality-assurance routines can reduce person-dependent variation. These practices respond to Analytical Theme 4, in which organizational loyalty depends on consistent performance across personnel and projects.

For client relationship management, contract renewal, reduced purchasing, complaints, recommendations, and switching should be treated as relationship intelligence rather than merely sales outcomes. Client feedback should be connected to specific service episodes and performance dimensions. This implication follows from Analytical Theme 3, which positions loyalty as an accumulated evaluation of repeated performance experiences.

For organizational knowledge management, expertise should be codified and shared through knowledge repositories, client-history records, reusable project documentation, and structured internal learning. These mechanisms help transform individual competence into organizational capability and reduce the risk that client value depends excessively on particular employees.

CONCLUSION

This study reframes the competence-performance-loyalty relationship as a process of value translation in B2B professional services. Based on documentary evidence, documented organizational observations, client-retention phenomena, and secondary interpretation of an earlier client survey, the case-based analysis suggests that competence provides the capability required for credible professional service but does not by itself guarantee client continuity. Clients encounter competence through performance: accuracy, responsiveness, timeliness, customization, professional communication, and problem resolution. Repeated positive performance experiences can reduce uncertainty, strengthen relationship confidence, and support renewal, recommendation, retention, and resistance to switching. The principal theoretical contribution is the provisional Competence-to-Performance Translation Mechanism (CPTM). Its core proposition is that competence represents value potential, performance represents value realization, and repeated value realization can accumulate into relationship capital and client loyalty. The practical contribution is a shift from competence development as an internal HR activity toward an integrated system connecting capability development, client-visible performance, service consistency, client feedback, and contract continuity. The study's claims are bounded by the available evidence. No new qualitative interviews were conducted, and the earlier survey is used only as background evidence. CPTM must therefore be regarded as an emerging theory-building framework rather than an empirically validated process model. Future research should conduct multi-informant interviews with client-side HR/HRD, procurement, project PICs, management representatives, and relevant provider personnel; compare retained, reduced-engagement, and former clients; and examine negative or divergent cases. A subsequent explanatory sequential mixed-methods design could formally test indirect relationships among competence, performance, relationship value, trust, and loyalty and then use interviews to explain how and why the observed pathways operate.

REFERENCES

- Amoako, G. K., et al. (2024). Commitment, trust, relative dependence, and customer loyalty in the B2B setting: The role of customer satisfaction. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 39(5), 933–948. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JBIM-08-2022-0375>
- Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. University of Chicago Press.
- Boso, N., Adeleye, I., Ibeh, K., & Chizema, A. (2021). Drivers of emerging market professional service firm success: The role of internal firm competencies and capabilities. *Management Research Review*, 44(4), 547–567. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MRR-01-2020-0026>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE.
- Doney, P. M., & Cannon, J. P. (1997). An examination of the nature of trust in buyer-seller relationships. *Journal of Marketing*, 61(2), 35–51. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299706100203>
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532–550. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4308385>
- Gansser, O. A., Boßow-Thies, S., & Krol, B. (2021). Creating trust and commitment in B2B services. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 97, 274–285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2021.07.005>
- Grönroos, C. (2017). Relationship marketing readiness: Theoretical background and measurement directions. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 31(3), 218–225.

- Homburg, C., Müller, M., & Klarmann, M. (2011). When should the customer really be king? On the optimum level of salesperson customer orientation in sales encounters. *Journal of Marketing*, 75(2), 55–74. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.75.2.55>
- Morgan, R. M., & Hunt, S. D. (1994). The commitment-trust theory of relationship marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(3), 20–38. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299405800302>
- Palmatier, R. W., Dant, R. P., Grewal, D., & Evans, K. R. (2006). Factors influencing the effectiveness of relationship marketing: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(4), 136–153. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.70.4.136>
- Payne, A., Frow, P., & Eggert, A. (2017). The customer value proposition: Evolution, development, and application in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 45, 467–489. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-017-0523-z>
- Roberts-Lombard, M., Mpinganjira, M., & Svensson, G. (2019). Drivers and outcomes of relationship quality with professional service firms: An SME owner-manager perspective. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 78, 27–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2017.09.011>
- Rupeika-Apoga, R., Petrovska, K., & Bule, L. (2022). The effect of digital orientation and digital capability on digital transformation of SMEs during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 17(2), 669–685. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer17020035>
- Spencer, L. M., & Spencer, S. M. (1993). *Competence at work: Models for superior performance*. Wiley.
- Uлага, W., & Eggert, A. (2006). Relationship value and relationship quality: Broadening the nomological network of business-to-business relationships. *European Journal of Marketing*, 40(3/4), 311–327. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560610648075>
- Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2008). Service-dominant logic: Continuing the evolution. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 36, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-007-0069-6>
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE.
- Zahoor, N., Zopiatis, A., Adomako, S., & Lamprinakos, G. (2023). The micro-foundations of digitally transforming SMEs: How digital literacy and technology interact with managerial attributes. *Journal of Business Research*, 159, 113755. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113755>
- Zeithaml, V. A., Berry, L. L., & Parasuraman, A. (1996). The behavioral consequences of service quality. *Journal of Marketing*, 60(2), 31–46. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299606000203>